

Proposals for Digital Equity Partnership Program

(No.<u>2023-MBI-04</u>)

Questions and Answers October 17, 2022

	Question	Answer
	Can we include activities not specifically	At the present time, this is a defined universe of initiatives
	identified in the RFQ and if so, is there a	that MBI is launching. In the future, MBI may modify and/or
1	recommended way to present these?	augment that list.
2	Can you articulate any relationship that MBI sees or expects between the Regional Partnerships and the Municipal Planning in the creation of a digital equity ecosystem?	Through the Digital Equity Planning Program MBI expects consultants to align strategic recommendations with any existing state or federal programs. The Digital Equity Partnership Program would be one of those existing state or federal programs that MBI would expect consultants to align with. To the extent that MBI can help facilitate connections and discussions between the prequalified consultants and the partners who are active in these areas that are relevant to any given municipal geography, MBI certainly is willing to do so.
3	Within a regional ecosystem, there are individuals who will not meet the ARPA definition for "eligible end beneficiaries". Must 100% of services be focused on these eligible people; must outside funds be used if non-eligible people are also served in the ecosystem	Partners can only expend funds under this Program to serve individuals that meet the definition of "Eligible End Beneficiary" as defined in section 3.2 of the solicitation. Each Partner will be responsible for establishing and maintaining a process to verify that funds are being expended to support eligible individuals or households. The verification must take place at the individual or household level unless the end beneficiaries reside in an eligible geographic area, such as a Qualified Census Tract.
	Is there a maximum suggested budget? What	MBI does not have a cap on the amount of funds that can be
	is the total available funding for the Digital	requested from an individual applicant. The Digital Equity





		authorization of American Rescue Plan Act ("ARPA") State and
		Local Fiscal Recovery Funds ("SLFRF") for digital equity
		initiatives.
	Is MBI interested in proposals for program	MBI is interested in proposals from applicants that can
	management that may cover multiple program	execute one or more of the program areas described, and the
	areas? Do separate concept papers need to be	applicant does not need to submit a separate concept paper
7	submitted for each program area?	for each program area.
		MBI would encourage partners to understand how they meet
	Can the research to assess solutions eligible	the needs of the target populations that they intend to serve.
	expense category be used to advance a more	Community outreach and assessment is certainly an eligible
	comprehensive plan including upgrading needs	use of funding under this allocation. However, a Partner may
	assessment and understanding of end user	not expend funds for strategic planning activities that are not
	challenges and the ultimate development of a	directly associated with program implementation of an
8	strategic agenda?	initiative under the Digital Equity Partnerships Program.
		MBI has a preference for the full time staff person to be
		employed by the Lead Applicant. However, the full time staff
		person may be employed at another entity, provided that the
	I think I saw that the 1.0 FTE should be	Lead Applicant can sufficiently demonstrate that this
	employed by the lead applicant. Is this correct,	arrangement will still allow the Lead Applicant to fulfill its
	or can we have the full-time staff/team	fiduciary, management and other responsibilities as required
9	member employed by one of our partners?	under this Program.
		No. This program does not impose new state procurement
		requirements. If an entity was not previously subject to
		Chapter 30B or any other state procurement requirements,
		entering into a grant agreement with MassTech will not have
		any impact on the applicability of state procurement laws or
		regulations. Please note that entities receiving funds through
		this Program will be subject to federal flow down
	Will lead applicants which are not public	requirements that will be referenced in a grant agreement
	agencies be required to follow Chapter 30B	that awardees will execute with MassTech. Each Partner will
10	procurement regulations?	need to flow these requirements down to their sub-awardees.
		A subrecipient is responsible for making program decisions
	What would require a project collaborator to	and determining where the funding will go. A contractor has a
	be regarded as a sub-recipient vs. contracted	contract to perform work that they typically do in their
11	entity?	normal business operations.





	BROADBAND INSTITUT	Collaborative
		There is no expected cap on the grant range for this program. Respondents should propose what they feel like is a feasible allocation based on their organizational capacity, technical
	How much do they expect the grant amount	expertise, and connections with on the ground service
	range to be to each partner?	delivery organizations.
	What is the total amount that MBI has to	delivery organizations.
	distribute?	See answer to question 4
13	distributer	See answer to question 4
	In the compliance and reporting section it is	Partners will be required to maintain, and in some cases
	noted that invoices will need to be collected	provide to MassTech, documentation of all costs incurred
	and end user data. Can you elaborate and	directly by the Partner as well as any sub-recipients or
	share an example of what this will look like?	contractors of the partner. Partners will also be required to
	Such as - invoices to show money has gone to	provide documentation of the end-user beneficiary receiving
	partner or all invoices from partner to	the benefit of the program. As an example, If the program
	implement work and end user data that shows	objective is to provide devices, documentation of the
	•	purchase of the devices need to be maintained and proof of
	documentation of each end user served - ie	the distribution of the device to a specific end user beneficiary
14	received a machine?	must also be documented.
		Under ARPA, funds must be committed by December 31,
	Jan 2023-Dec 2026 is 3 years; is MBI saying	2024, however once committed, they may be expended
	applicants can only apply for 2 years of	through December 26, 2026. MassTech expects to contract
15	funding?	for an initial period of performance of two-years.
		The six initiatives described in the Solicitation have been
		identified by MBI as focus areas that can be scaled and
	With the municipal program you provided	replicated in every region of the Commonwealth. MBI
	some best case examples. Is there anything	expects to publish impact stories on our website as digital
16	similar for this program?	equity projects are implemented over time.
	For the Digital Literacy Initiative program area,	
	are we able to propose our own digital literacy	Respondents may propose their own Digital Literacy level
	levels or do we need to use the literacy metrics	objectives that meet the needs and circumstances of the
	outlined by MBI? If we need to use the levels	populations they intend to serve. Those levels will then be
	outlined by MBI, can you please provide	used to inform the metrics associated with that digital literacy
	information about where we can obtain the	initiative as outlined in section 3.3 of the solicitation.
17	specific skills associated with each level?	
	The Solicitation is focused almost exclusively	The Digital Equity Partnerships program is not an
	on WiFi. This proved to be a poor solution	infrastructure program. There are other federal funding
	during covid. Can alternative technologies be	opportunities that will address infrastructure gaps in the
18	proposed?	Commonwealth that will complement this Program.





		The concept paper is designed to be a relatively low barrier
		for organizations to indicate an expression of interest in the
		Partnership Program and proposed approach. Respondents
		should follow the guidance outlined in section 4.1 of the
		solicitation to the best of their ability. Budget detail should be
		in alignment with the information outlined on slide 12 of the
		bidders' conference presentation. Project specifics that
		include network design, for example, would not be required
	What level of detail is expected at the concept	as a component of a Concept Paper submission, however
	paper stage? Asking both about budget detail	applicants should indicate which projects, if any, could be
19	and project specifics (network design, etc).	implemented quickly upon award.
	Could you imagine a prospective partnership	
	application/budget submitted to the near term	
	deadline (conceivably a phase 1 partnership)	
	and then a second application next year for a	
	phase 2 based on learnings in year one,	If that is the approach that an applicant wants to take, then
	possibly focused on the sub recipients scaling	they should clearly indicate that in their concept paper and
20	successful effort.	discuss it with MBI as part of the review process.
	Does MBI plan to award 10-15 organizations	
	with grants throughout the life of the	
	Partnership solicitation period, or 10-15 per	MBI seeks a total of 10 - 15 organizations to participate in the
21	concept paper round/due date?	Partnership Program.
		Yes, an entity may be a lead applicant on one concept paper,
	Might an entity be a lead applicant on one	and also a sub-award entity on another - so long as it is clearly
	concept paper, and also a sub-award entity on	articulated how the entity's role as a sub-awardee is not
22	another?	duplicative of their role as a lead applicant.
	So to clarify, while the other program is	
	focused on planning, the goal here is to show	
	that communities are walking the talk by	Yes, the Digital Equity Planning Program is focused on
	documenting that by 12/31/26 xx # of people	providing municipalities with planning support and the Digital
	have received refurbished laptops, xx # now	Equity Partnerships Program is focused on implementation of
23	have access to free wifi, etc as examples?	digital equity projects.
		MBI expects the concept paper should have a total budget
		estimate that is informed by project and cost assumptions.
		The concept paper is not meant to be exhaustive, but should
		outline a framework for each program area including key
	Should the concept paper request a specific	assumptions that the applicant established to inform their
	dollar value? What is meant by "high-level"	budget estimates for both direct program costs and
24	budget?	administrative costs to manage the proposed program. The





		high level assumptions for each program area should include
		(i) # of projects and cost per project with a summary of the
		types of costs to be incurred for each project, (ii) assumptions
		on level of staffing required and estimated cost per FTE, and
		(iii) a description of other cost categories and estimated
		amounts for each category. The high level assumptions for
		each program area should roll up to the total budget estimate
		that the applicant thinks is feasible for them to expend within
		the given time period.
	Would training historically marginalized	
	communities and placing them in entry level	
	tech jobs be covered by this grant? This is a	MBI would need more details to make a determination on
25	little bit going beyond digital inclusion.	eligibility for funding.
	Has MBI considered procuring a state contract	
	rather than helping with technical assistance to	
	all the organizations separately. Prices might	Depending on the initiative and depending on the partner,
	be better with economies of scale. A state	this may or may not be feasible. This Program is not directed
	contract would vastly simplify procurement	exclusively at public entities, so a state contract may work in
	and save a lot of effort across all the	some contexts, but it may not be necessary or appropriate in
26	organizations.	other contexts.
	For the public wifi initiative, to what extent is	
	MBI entertaining concept papers with "shovel	
	ready" projects (i.e. a site selected, a network	
	design, all sub-awardees identified, etc.) vs is	
	MBI also entertaining concept paper	
	approaches that would involve a proposed	
	process for defining those specifics (site,	
	network design, etc.) AFTER award (and may	
	involve the lead applicant working with	Applicants that have shovel ready projects should certainly
	stakeholders to entertain multiple sites)?	indicate that in their concept paper. MBI also expects that
	TL;DR - are you asking for specific projects or	many partners will need to work with organizations on the
27	program approaches? (of both?)	ground to generate a pipeline of projects upon award.
	We find that most people who we help	
	purchase a device also need training to use the	
	device that can take from 1 to 12 hours. Would	
	you categorize this support for device adoption	Applicants that seek to integrate digital literacy with device
	and use in the Digital Literacy Initiative or in	distribution should apply for both program areas to align with
	the Device Distribution and Refurbishment	the eligible expense categories outlined in Appendix A of the
28	Program?	bidder's conference presentation.





29	Will full applications be also submitted on a rolling basis? Will awards be made on a rolling basis?	Respondents will have 4 weeks from the notice of an invitation to submit a full application, and it is expected that any resulting award(s) would also be made on a rolling basis.
30	When do you anticipate notifying applicants that they are invited to submit a full application?	Review periods for concept papers will depend on volume received and the level of follow up questions and supplementation information that is needed to fully evaluate the concept paper.
31	Funding Dates: What are the committed to funding dates and spend dates? Please publish and include timeline in RFP. (Please display dates noted on the display page)	Funds under the broadband innovation fund must be committed by December 31, 2024 and expended by December 31, 2026.
32	Geographic Caps: Are there geographical caps within counties? If so, how are those calculated?	There are no geographic caps within counties. Applicants should indicate their desired service area and how they plan to meet the needs of eligible populations in their concept paper.
33	Funding code (assigned by Govt) clarification: As we understand it- each set of monies has a funding code designation by the govt which includes additional requirements and parameters- are these all captured in RFP? Are they any other additional requirements that will be identified after RFP that we need to be aware of?	The Federal Award Identification Number (FAIN) for this program is SLFRP1025. The RFP and draft Subaward Agreement template (https://masstech.org/sites/default/files/2022-09/Subaward%20Grant%20Agreement.pdf) provide specific requirements or references to the federal regulations that will need to be followed. MassTech does not expect additional requirements but reserves its right to modify the requirements to the extent there are additional requirements imposed on MassTech.
34	Program Priorities: What are the Program Area priorities and are there monetary Caps by type?	The desired scope of services is outlined in section 2.3 of the solicitation. As indicated, there are no monetary caps for the program areas.
35	Is there a reporting template/example that can be shared?	Reporting templates will be shared with respondents invited to submit a formal application
36	What tools will need to be used for reporting?	MBI plans to use a combination of cloud based reporting tools to streamline reporting and create transparency.





A regional fiduciary/Partner will have flexibility within specific parameters to select and approve sub-recipients, contractors or beneficiaries to the extent it is in line with the approved project scope, budget, and requirements set forth in the agreed upon subrecipient agreement. Determinations by MassTech regarding authority on selection and approval of projects may be revisited during the period of performance. Please refer to the answer to Question #39 for more details. Can you walk through the process both for the regional organization and non-profit- the steps	
or beneficiaries to the extent it is in line with the approved project scope, budget, and requirements set forth in the agreed upon subrecipient agreement. Determinations by MassTech regarding authority on selection and approval of projects may be revisited during the period of performance. Please refer to the answer to Question #39 for more details. Can you walk through the process both for the regional organization and non-profit- the steps	
project scope, budget, and requirements set forth in the agreed upon subrecipient agreement. Determinations by MassTech regarding authority on selection and approval of projects may be revisited during the period of performance. Please refer to the answer to Question #39 for more details. Can you walk through the process both for the regional organization and non-profit- the steps	
How much flexibility does the regional fiduciary have in the selection and approval process? Can you walk through the process both for the regional organization and non-profit- the steps	
How much flexibility does the regional fiduciary have in the selection and approval process? Can you walk through the process both for the regional organization and non-profit- the steps MassTech regarding authority on selection and approval projects may be revisited during the period of performance. Please refer to the answer to Question #39 for more details.	
fiduciary have in the selection and approval projects may be revisited during the period of performance. Please refer to the answer to Question #39 for more details. Can you walk through the process both for the regional organization and non-profit- the steps	
37 process? Please refer to the answer to Question #39 for more details. Can you walk through the process both for the regional organization and non-profit- the steps	
Can you walk through the process both for the regional organization and non-profit- the steps	
regional organization and non-profit- the steps	37
38 from concept paper to approval? Please see slide 6 in the bidders' conference presentation.	38
A respondent that submits a full application that includes a	
sufficiently detailed project plan, budget with reasonable cos	
estimates, and criteria for approving projects to implement a	
initiative, will not require approvals on a project-by-project	
basis within the parameters set forth in the agreed upon	
subrecipient agreement. However, to the extent a Partner is	
deemed high risk with respect to administering the federal	
funds, additional requirements may be established with	
Will organizations be trusted to select, and regard to the ability to implement the projects without	
fund said projects, or will each project need to guidance and oversight by MassTech. Determinations	
be approved by MBI? i.e. will partners be regarding authority around approval of projects may be	
39 independent Grantors or intermediaries? revisited during the period of performance.	39
Invoices and End user Data: In the compliance	
and reporting section it is noted that invoices	
will need to be collected and end user data.	
Can you elaborate and share an example of	
what this will look like? Such as: Invoices to	
show money has gone to partner (such as	
Grant has been made and received by Grantee	
org) or all invoices from partner to implement	
work (such as the payments to each vendor	1
40 and wages etc)? Or can you share an example? See answer to question 14	





		As stated in section 3.2 of the solicitation, for each of the six
		program areas, Partner organizations will be required to verify
		that all
		Beneficiaries meet the Target Population criteria. Partner
		organizations will be required to submit to MassTech a
		process description of how they will verify that digital equity
		projects serve eligible individuals or households as part of
		their response to the Partnership solicitation. The verification
		must take place at the individual or household level unless the
		end beneficiaries reside in an eligible geographic area, such as
		a Qualified Census Tract. MassTech will monitor this
	End user data that shows that a partner works	verification process through the duration of any agreement
	with eligible individuals or documentation of	and will confirm funds are being deployed for eligible uses on
	each end user served - ie received a device?	an ongoing basis as projects are developed. MassTech will
	For example, many organizations by their	require Partners to make updates as needed as a condition of
	mission and work (a community action	any subrecipient agreement with the Partner.
	organization as an example)— serve eligible	Verification of target populations may differ between the six
	individual only or primarily- is this sufficient	program areas outlined in the solicitation. Respondents
	documentation or does each individual have to	should review the examples given in section 3.2 for each of
41	be vetted (there are privacy issues here)?	the six program areas.
	Can funding be used on administration,	
42	reporting and project coordination?	Yes
		MBI will use the review criteria outlined in section 5.2 of the
		solicitation. As a state entity MassTech/MBI reports its
		activities to various legislators/legislative committees on an
	What is the decision-making process internally	ad hoc basis, and works in close coordination with the
	at MBI for each proposal? Does the	Executive Office of Housing and Economic Development
	administration or legislature have any visibility	("EOHED"). MassTech/MBI has certain reporting requirements
43	to the process?	to EHOED as it relates to the funding source for this RFP.
	Please describe the transparency of the	
	·	Proposals in process will not be posted publicly. See also the
44		
	publicity.	·
ŀ		1. Sala Sala libe contain opening reporting reguliericines / 15 d
	Does MBI have to report to the House/Senate	
	Does MBI have to report to the House/Senate committee throughout the process? If yes,	state entity MassTech/MBI reports its activities to various legislators/legislative committees on an ad hoc basis, and
	, ,	





	DIOADDAID INSTITUT	_ Johnson and
46	Will you approve multi prong applications – that for example include Digital Literacy, ACP Adoption, Device refurbishment?	Yes, MBI encourages respondents to consider how the implementation of multiple program areas might create synergies and more holistic and sustainable digital equity interventions.
47	Will you approve multiyear grants? If yes, up to how many years?	Yes, as indicated in section 4.1B respondents should assume an initial performance period of 2 years. MBI will make decisions regarding longer proposed periods of performance on a case by case basis.
48	Can organizations put in multiple or tiered proposals?	Organizations are limited to submitting one proposal as a lead applicant.
49	Is there a mechanism to have statewide initiatives facilitated amongst partners for larger scale solutions like device refurbishment?	Statewide initiatives would be allowed under this solicitation. The lead applicant would need to demonstrate the ability to implement an initiative on a statewide basis, including forming relationships with partners that would be needed to provide the necessary scope and breadth of services
	The RFP doesn't reference Digital navigators	provide the necessary scope and predatir of services.
	for ACP adoption, is this a qualifying use of funds? If yes, can that be included in RFP	Digital Navigators are referenced in section 2.3.1.VI (page 5,
50	guidance?	paragraph 6)
	What is floor and ceiling in an award per	
51	organization?	See answer to question 12
		Any given lead applicant may only be approved for one
		Partnership grant at any given time. At the end of any given
	Construction of the construction of the construction of	period of performance an applicant may reapply as long as
E 2	Can you apply multiple times and be awarded multiple grants?	the Partnership program is still operating and accepting applications. See also response to question 22.
32	multiple grants:	MBI reserves the right to engage with an applicant to discuss
	Will you approve a partial grant if the total	the potential for funding part of the request as MBI deems
53	grant is not approved?	appropriate.
	grant is not approved.	MBI anticipates that Partners will be allowed to requisition
		funds quarterly in advance based upon their forecasted
		project expenditures. With each quarter's requisition, the
		Partner will include documentation for the actual costs
		incurred to date and any funds remaining from prior
		requisitions shall be applied toward the next quarter
		expenditure projections. All funds must be expended in
	How will money be allocated? Will it be lump	accordance with the eligible uses and the approved budget.
54	sum or milestones or other?	Any funds paid to Partner that are not expended in





		accordance with the terms of the contract and budget shall be
		returned to MassTech.
	Does wireless internet need to be broadcast	
	from within the interior of a unit? Would a	
	wired unit connection be a requirement? If an	
	owner of subsidized or public housing is legally	Projects under the Apartment WiFi program area will likely be
	prohibited from operating wired in-unit	developed on a case by case basis, taking into account the
	services, but could provide wireless solutions	specific needs and uses of the operator and residents. A wired
	where residents could access the internet from	unit connection will not be a requirement. Wireless solutions
55	within their unit, would that be acceptable?	are an acceptable use of funds.
	The WiFi Access initiative appears to require	·
	the "building owner" to hold a master-level	
	subscription. Would MBI consider flexibility on	
	this requirement in the following scenario: A	
	public agency owns the building, and another	
	public agency provides the internet service at	As described in the response to question 55, projects under
	no cost to the end-user, but the building	the Apartment WiFi program area will likely be developed on
	owner does not hold a "subscription" and the	a case by case basis and will need to be structured in such a
	residents do not hold a subscription. Rather,	way that all stakeholder parties involved deem the project
	the agreement is granted via license or	feasible. The scenario outlined in the question would be
	memorandum of agreement between public	considered an eligible project under the current program
56	agencies.	structure.
	If in-unit access is provided via a signal	
	broadcast from a public space (for example, a	
	hallway of a publicly-owned, residential	
	building), and the in-unit internet service	
	meets the broadband requirements laid out by	The Public Space Modernization initiative is designed to
	MBI, could this be considered under either or	support non-residential public spaces. The scenario outlined
	both Wifi Access or Public Space	in the question would be designated under the Apartment
57	Modernization initiatives?	WiFi program area.
	At what point in the application process will	
	Lead Applicants need to specify and define	Respondents do not need to specify all sub-applicants at the
	partner organizations/Sub-Applicants? Will	time of the concept paper. To the extent all project
58	these partners need to be defined at the	participants are not identified, Respondents should articulate





	concept paper stage, at the application stage,	the process for expanding sub-applicants as part of their
	or at another stage?	concept paper response. However, gaps in any proposed
		applicant team may impact the likelihood that the
		Respondent will be approved by MBI to proceed to the full
		application stage. Respondents with an incomplete team that
		are not selected for a full application in a particular round can
		reapply in a subsequent round when their team is complete.
	Are proposals that combine specific projects	
	with defined Sub-Applicants and a process for	
	further site/partner solicitation post-award	
59	acceptable?	See answer to question 58
	To what extent should WiFi/public access	
	network sites and partners be already selected	
	(with networks designed) prior to the	
	application? To what extent can site	
	selection/design/budgeting come after	
60	selection?	See answer to question 27
	What level of detail is expected on the budget	
61	at the concept paper stage?	See answer to question 19
	Attachment C of the solicitation refers to a	
	budget template Excel sheet, which does not	The detailed budget template (Attachment C) will be made
	appear to be attached. Where can we access	available to respondents who are invited to submit a full
62	that template?	application.
	What is the total amount of funding available	
	for the Partnership solicitation? Is the \$50m in	
	funding specific to this solicitation, or is it	
	shared between the Partnership and Planning	
63	solicitations?	See answer to question 4
	Does MBI have any guidance or expectation	
	regarding the maximum amount of funding	There is no maximum amount of funding available for any
64	available for each project?	individual project.
	Does MBI plan to award 10-15 organizations	
	with grants throughout the life of the	
	Partnership solicitation period, or 10-15 per	
65	concept paper round/due date?	See answer to question 21





either per concept paper deadline or for the lifetime of the solicitation? Is there a limit to the number of concept or as a single concept papers be submitted individually for each proposed project, or as a single concept paper describing the full suite of 68 projects an organization is proposing? What are the requirements for non-public applicants for procurement? Will non-public awardees still need to adhere to Chapter 30B of gregulations? See answer to question 52 Respondents should submit one concept paper that clearly indicates which program area(s) the respondent wishes to be considered for. What are the requirements for non-public applicants for procurement? Will non-public awardees still need to adhere to Chapter 30B of gregulations? See answer to question 10 See answer to question 50 See answer to question 52 See answer to question 50 See answer to question 10 See answer to question 4 There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application review period. Are applicants able to apply for multiple rounds of funding across the rolling deadlines? Will there be any restrictions on the use of funds? Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new of the RFP in program design, or does it need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in th		Can one organization receive multiple awards,	
Is there a limit to the number of concept papers that each organization can submit? Should concept papers be submitted individually for each proposed project, or as a single concept paper describing the full suite of projects an organization is proposing? What are the requirements for non-public applicants for procurement? Will non-public awardees still need to adhere to Chapter 30B gergulations? To is there a ceiling to the grant size? Is there a ceiling of funding that will be distributed each rolling deadline? Are applicants able to apply for multiple 27 rounds of funding across the rolling deadlines? Will there be any restrictions on the use of funds? Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new Ardware? Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it refurbishment and purchase of new devices. Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a for considered for. See answer to question 52 Respondents should submit one concept paper that clearly indicates which program area(s) the respondent wishes to be considered for. Respondents should submit one concept paper that clearly indicates which program area(s) the respondent wishes to be considered for. Respondents should submit one concept paper that clearly indicates which program area(s) the respondent wishes to be considered for. See answer to question 10 See answer to question 10 See answer to question 10 See answer to question 20 See answer to question 4 There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application review period. See answer to question 52 See answer to question 10 See answer to ques		either per concept paper deadline or for the	
Should concept papers be submitted individually for each proposed project, or as a single concept paper describing the full suite of single concept paper describing the full suite of single concept paper describing the full suite of indicates which program area(s) the respondent wishes to be considered for. What are the requirements for non-public applicants for procurement? Will non-public awardees still need to adhere to Chapter 30B see answer to question 10 See answer to question 10 See answer to question 10 See answer to question 4 Is there a ceiling of funding that will be distributed each rolling deadline? Are applicants able to apply for multiple rounds of funding across the rolling deadlines? Will there be any restrictions on the use of funds? Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new Anardware? Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it 75 need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a to consideration in eligibility? Who will be reviewing the applicants as a single and result size of the RFP in program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. See answer to question 52 and 22 See slide 12 and Appendix A of the bidders conference presentation slides for a full list of eligible expenses As described in section 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in 27 addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the	66	lifetime of the solicitation?	See answer to questions 22 and 52
Should concept papers be submitted individually for each proposed project, or as a single concept paper describing the full suite of 88 projects an organization is proposing? considered for. What are the requirements for non-public applicants for procurement? Will non-public awardees still need to adhere to Chapter 30B 69 regulations? To lis there a ceiling to the grant size? See answer to question 4 Is there a ceiling of funding that will be 71 distributed each rolling deadline? each application review period. Are applicants able to apply for multiple 72 rounds of funding across the rolling deadlines? See side 12 and Appendix A of the bidders conference presentation slides for a full list of eligible expenses why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new 74 hardware? Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it 75 need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a 65 consideration in eligibility? Who will be reviewing the applications in 77 addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the 75 See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of		Is there a limit to the number of concept	
individually for each proposed project, or as a single concept paper describing the full suite of single concept paper describing the full suite of considered for. What are the requirements for non-public applicants for procurement? Will non-public awardees still need to adhere to Chapter 30B regulations? See answer to question 10 See answer to question 10 Is there a ceiling to the grant size? Is there a ceiling of funding that will be ach application review period. Are applicants able to apply for multiple rounds of funding across the rolling deadline? Will there be any restrictions on the use of funds? Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new refurbishment and purchase of new devices. Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a focusidered for. Respondents should submit one concept paper that clearly indicates which program area(s) the respondent wishes to be considered for. Respondents should submit one concept paper that clearly indicates which program area(s) the respondent wishes to be considered for. See answer to question 10 See answer to question 2 See answer to questions 52 and 22 See slide 12 and Appendix A of the bidders conference presentation slides for a full list of eligible expenses As described in section 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will th	67	papers that each organization can submit?	See answer to question 52
single concept paper describing the full suite of projects an organization is proposing? What are the requirements for non-public applicants for procurement? Will non-public awardees still need to adhere to Chapter 30B 69 regulations? See answer to question 10 To Is there a ceiling of funding that will be Is there a ceiling of funding that will be distributed each rolling deadline? Are applicants able to apply for multiple rounds of funding across the rolling deadlines? Will there be any restrictions on the use of funds? Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it refurbish gunder this grant? Is immigration status a under this grant? Is immigration status a beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the single considered for. indicates which program area(s) the respondent wishes to be considered for. considered for. indicates which program area(s) the respondent wishes to be considered for. See answer to question 10 There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application review period. See answer to questions 52 and 22 See slide 12 and Appendix A of the bidders conference presentation slides for a full list of eligible expenses As described in section 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff.		Should concept papers be submitted	
What are the requirements for non-public applicants for procurement? Will non-public awardees still need to adhere to Chapter 30B 69 regulations? See answer to question 10 See answer to question 4 Is there a ceiling to the grant size? See answer to question 4 Is there a ceiling of funding that will be 71 distributed each rolling deadline? Are applicants able to apply for multiple 72 rounds of funding across the rolling deadlines? Will there be any restrictions on the use of 73 funds? Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both 74 hardware? Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it 75 need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a 76 consideration in eligibility? Who will be reviewing the applications in 77 addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See alist of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of		individually for each proposed project, or as a	Respondents should submit one concept paper that clearly
What are the requirements for non-public applicants for procurement? Will non-public awardees still need to adhere to Chapter 30B 69 regulations? 70 Is there a ceiling to the grant size? See answer to question 4 Is there a ceiling of funding that will be distributed each rolling deadline? Are applicants able to apply for multiple 72 rounds of funding across the rolling deadlines? Will there be any restrictions on the use of 73 funds? Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new 74 hardware? Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it 75 need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a 56 consideration in eligibility? Who will be reviewing the applications in 77 addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See answer to question 10 See answer to question 10 There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application review period. There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application review period. See answer to question 4 There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application review period. See answer to question 52 and 22 See slide 12 and Appendix A of the bidders conference presentation slides for a full list of eligible expenses As described in section 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. See answer to questions 52 and 22 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solici		single concept paper describing the full suite of	indicates which program area(s) the respondent wishes to be
applicants for procurement? Will non-public awardees still need to adhere to Chapter 30B 69 regulations? To list here a ceiling to the grant size? Is there a ceiling of funding that will be listributed each rolling deadline? Are applicants able to apply for multiple rounds of funding across the rolling deadlines? Will there be any restrictions on the use of 31 funds? Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new Adarday are? Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a consideration in eligibility? Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See answer to question 10 See answer to question 4 There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application 4 There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application 4 There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application 4 There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application 4 There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application 4 There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application 5 See answer to question 52 and 22 See slide 12 and Appendix A of the bidders conference presentation slides for a full list of eligible expenses As described in section 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? There will be no extern	68	projects an organization is proposing?	considered for.
awardees still need to adhere to Chapter 30B 69 regulations? 70 Is there a ceiling to the grant size? Is there a ceiling of funding that will be distributed each rolling deadline? Are applicants able to apply for multiple rounds of funding across the rolling deadlines? Will there be any restrictions on the use of funds? Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new And the RFP, rather than providing new Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it red to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a consideration in eligibility? Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See answer to question 10 See answer to question 4 There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application 4 There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application 7 See answer to question 52 and 22 See slide 12 and Appendix A of the bidders conference presentation slides for a full list of eligible expenses As described in section 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the		What are the requirements for non-public	
See answer to question 10		applicants for procurement? Will non-public	
See answer to question 4 There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application review period.		awardees still need to adhere to Chapter 30B	
Is there a ceiling of funding that will be 71 distributed each rolling deadline? Are applicants able to apply for multiple 72 rounds of funding across the rolling deadlines? Will there be any restrictions on the use of 73 funds? Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new 74 hardware? Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a consideration in eligibility? Who will be reviewing the applications in 77 addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application review period. There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application review period. There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during each application review period. See answer to questions 52 and 22 See slide 12 and Appendix A of the bidders conference presentation slides for a full list of eligible expenses As described in section 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff. See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of	69	regulations?	See answer to question 10
71 distributed each rolling deadline? Are applicants able to apply for multiple 72 rounds of funding across the rolling deadlines? Will there be any restrictions on the use of 73 funds? Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new 74 hardware? Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it 75 need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a 76 consideration in eligibility? Who will be reviewing the applications in 77 addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See answer to questions 52 and 22 See answer to question 5.2 and 22 See answer to question 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. See answer to question 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. See answer to question 5.8 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff.	70	Is there a ceiling to the grant size?	See answer to question 4
Are applicants able to apply for multiple 72 rounds of funding across the rolling deadlines? Will there be any restrictions on the use of 73 funds? See slide 12 and Appendix A of the bidders conference presentation slides for a full list of eligible expenses Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new 74 hardware? Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it 75 need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a under this grant? Is immigration status a 76 consideration in eligibility? Who will be reviewing the applications in 77 addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See answer to questions 52 and 22 See answer to questions 52 and 22 See answer to questions 52 and 22 See slide 12 and Appendix A of the bidders conference presentation slides for a full list of eligible expenses As described in section 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in 77 addition to MassTech staff? There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff. See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of		Is there a ceiling of funding that will be	There is no ceiling of funding that will be distributed during
72 rounds of funding across the rolling deadlines? Will there be any restrictions on the use of funds? Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a to consideration in eligibility? Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See answer to questions 52 and 22 See slide 12 and Appendix A of the bidders conference presentation sides for a full list of eligible expenses See alist of question 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. As described in section 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in 37 addition to MassTech staff? There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff. See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of	71	distributed each rolling deadline?	each application review period.
Will there be any restrictions on the use of funds? Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a consideration in eligibility? Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be any restrictions on the use of presentation and Appendix A of the bidders conference presentation slides for a full list of eligible expenses See slide 12 and Appendix A of the bidders conference presentation slides for a full list of eligible expenses As described in section 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the		Are applicants able to apply for multiple	
Tag funds? Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new Tag funds? Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a toconsideration in eligibility? Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishment and purchase of new devices. As described in section 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff. See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of	72	rounds of funding across the rolling deadlines?	See answer to questions 52 and 22
Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a consideration in eligibility? Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the What is the goal of refurbishment? What is the goal of refurbishment? As described in section 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff. See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of		Will there be any restrictions on the use of	See slide 12 and Appendix A of the bidders conference
is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined in the RFP, rather than providing new Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it 75 need to be focused on a singular/specific area? See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the As described in section 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in 377 addition to MassTech staff? There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff. See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of	73	funds?	presentation slides for a full list of eligible expenses
in the RFP, rather than providing new hardware? Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it need to be focused on a singular/specific area? Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a rot consideration in eligibility? Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both refurbishment and purchase of new devices. See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff. See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of		Why is there a focus on refurbishment? What	
74 hardware? refurbishment and purchase of new devices. Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it 75 need to be focused on a singular/specific area? See answer to question 58 Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a 56 consideration in eligibility? the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in 37 addition to MassTech staff? There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff. Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of		is the goal of refurbishing devices as outlined	As described in section 2.3.1 of the solicitation the Device
Can the proposed project address multiple areas of the RFP in program design, or does it need to be focused on a singular/specific area? See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff.		in the RFP, rather than providing new	Distribution and Refurbishment program area allows for both
areas of the RFP in program design, or does it 75 need to be focused on a singular/specific area? See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of	74	hardware?	refurbishment and purchase of new devices.
75 need to be focused on a singular/specific area? See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See answer to question 58 The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff.		Can the proposed project address multiple	
Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a 56 consideration in eligibility? Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the 50 can we serve undocumented populations restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff. See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of		areas of the RFP in program design, or does it	
Can we serve undocumented populations under this grant? Is immigration status a beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the restrictions based on immigration status, however the end beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff.	75	need to be focused on a singular/specific area?	See answer to question 58
under this grant? Is immigration status a beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of			The federal funding source for this RPF does not specify any
76 consideration in eligibility? the Solicitation. Who will be reviewing the applications in addition to MassTech staff? There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff. Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of		Can we serve undocumented populations	restrictions based on immigration status, however the end
Who will be reviewing the applications in 77 addition to MassTech staff? Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of		under this grant? Is immigration status a	beneficiaries have to satisfy the eligibility criteria specified in
77 addition to MassTech staff? There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff. Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of	76	consideration in eligibility?	the Solicitation.
Will there be a rubric that accompanies the See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	77	addition to MassTech staff?	There will be no external reviewers outside of MassTech staff.
78 application? the solicitation		Will there be a rubric that accompanies the	See a list of evaluation criteria outlined under section 5.2 of
	78	application?	the solicitation





	- TOTAL 1 - DAIL 18 DE 1	
		As outlined in section 4.2B any respondent invited to submit a full application must include references from at least 3 clients of the Lead
		Applicant who have utilized the organization on matters of
		similar size, scope and complexity to the Respondent's
		Proposed Initiatives. The references must include name of
		company, period of performance, description of the project, a
		contact person and their business address, email and a phone
		number. If an applicant wishes to seek an additional letter of
	Are letters of support allowable in addition to	support to submit as an appendix they may do so, but it is not
79	the five page LOI?	a requirement.
		To the extent the upfront costs for scaling the organization
	To use potential funding in the most impactful	are determined to be eligible expenses and are included in the
	way, there will be significant up-front costs in	approved budget, they can be requisitioned in accordance
	scaling the organization. With that in mind,	with the process articulated in Question 54. Payment
	does MBI have an anticipated payment	schedules will be determined based upon the timing of
80	schedule?	expenditures of each Partner.
		MassTech will seek quarterly information regarding funds
		expended across specific line items that align with the eligible
		expenses as articulated on slide 12 and Appendix A of the
		bidders' conference slides and the approved budget; metric
		reporting as outlined in section 3.3 of the solicitation;
		anticipated project milestones across all program areas;
	What information or data will be requested in	qualitative reporting associated with
	the standard reporting forms provided by	challenges/successes/lessons learned; any other criteria
81	MassTech?	deemed necessary and relevant by MassTech.
	What is the timeframe that MBI is interested in	
	funding Digital Equity Partners? Is a two-year	As outlined in section 4.2B respondents should anticipate an
82	period a reasonable period?	initial two year period of performance.
	Does an individual's eligibility for MassHealth	
	meet the definition of "Eligible End	
	Beneficiaries" for the following three Digital	
		An individual's eligibility for MassHealth may not
	Device Distribution and Refurbishment	automatically make them an "Eligible End Beneficiary".
	Program and Education, Outreach and	Further analysis is needed to determine whether they meet
83	Adoption?	the definition outlined in Section 3.2 of the solicitation.





	For the Digital Literacy Initiative program area	
	Metrics, are grantees permitted to use a	
	portion, rather than all, of MBI's proposed	
	Metrics? For example, a Comp TIA Network+	
	program graduate has highly advanced	
	technical skills including the ability to	
	configure, manage, and troubleshoot wired	
	and wireless network devices. If permitted, we	
	will not track people at the "Comp TIA	
	Network + program graduate level" because	
	our digital literacy programs are targeted to	
84	beginning and intermediate digital skills.	See answer to question 17
	For the Digital Literacy Initiative program area,	·
	can MBI provide additional information on the	
	specific skills required to meet the below levels	
	of literacy outlined in the Metrics. We have	
	developed our own literacy assessment and	
	skill levels and would like to crosswalk our	
85	program to the MBI literacy levels.	See answer to question 17
	For the Digital Literacy Initiative program area	
	Metrics, would MBI accept the results of an	To the extent that any surveying of end beneficiaries occurs
	assessment conducted after literacy services	after the implementation of a program and can be rolled into
	are provided to determine the literacy level of	a summary annual survey or assessment, MassTech would
88	a client rather than an annual survey?	find that acceptable.
	Our experience is that a portion of clients who	
	we help to enroll in the ACP also need help	
	home-based support when the ISP installs	
	home broadband. We are prepared to provide	The respondent should use the guidance provided in the
	this support to ensure that the home	solicitation to determine which program areas they believe
	broadband is being effectively used by the	their organization is best suited to execute and submit them
	client. Would you categorize this support in	in the concept paper. MBI staff may then engage with
	the "Digital Literacy Initiative" or in	respondents to further define/refine their proposed approach
89	"Education, Outreach and Adoption?"	within the framework of the six program areas.
	We find that most people who we help	
	purchase a device also need training to use the	
	device that can take from 1 to 12 hours.	
	Would you categorize this support for device	
90	adoption and use in the "Digital Literacy	See response to question 89.





	Initiative" or in the "Device Distribution and	
	Refurbishment Program?"	
	For the "Connectivity Initiative for Economic	
	Hardship", would MBI support the distribution	
	of smartphones in lieu of jetpacks? Some	
	smartphones function well as a hot spot and	
		MBI will not support the distribution of smartphones or other
-	a single device.	cellular devices.
93	What is the maximum award amount?	See response to question 12
	When is the time frame for funding? When will	
	grant awardees be notified? When is the	
	expected time frame to begin work after	
95	award notification?	See responses to questions 82 and 30
	What are eligibility requirements for individual	
	participants to access services and resources?	
	Can individuals who lack worker authorization	"Eligible End Beneficiaries" are defined in Section 3.2 of the
96	access services and resources?	RFP.
	What is considered an "existing regional	
	partner" as outlined on page 6 "Priority for	
	awards under the digital literacy initiative shall	
	be given to programming for existing regional	
97	partners"	Existing organizations providing services on a regional basis.
	For the Digital Literacy Initiative, do all tiers of	
	training set forth on page 10 of the RFP need	
98	to be provided?	See response to question 17
	If all 3 tiers of training are required on page 10	
	of the RFP, what is the reasoning behind why	
	these tiers were selected? We are not seeing a	
	natural progression between the three	
	trainings, as they would be tailored to different	
99	populations.	See response to question 17
		To the extent the Partner has a federally approved indirect
	Is there a maximum allowable indirect cost	cost rate, Partner can submit a budget that includes that rate
	(also known as facilities & administration)	along with documentation of the federal approval of that rate.
	rate?	To the extent a Partner does not have a federally approved
100		rate, it can use the de minimis rate of 10% of modified total





		direct costs as specified in 2 CFR 200.414 (f) for their indirect
		cost rate.
	Is there an anticipated project start date,	Projects will be reviewed on a quarterly basis as articulated in
	and/or date for notice of award?	section 4.2 of the solicitation. Timing of notice of awards will
101	and of date for notice of award:	be dependent on volume of responses.
	Is there a cost cap on total or direct expenses	There is not a cost cap on total or direct expenses under this
102	proposed?	program.
		Under the Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds
	When budgeting for personnel, should the	Final Rule and the associated Compliance and Reporting
	federal salary cap (\$203,700) be applied, or	Guidance, a federal wage cap is not specified. Personnel costs
	should institutional base salaries be utilized?	should follow requirements set forth in 2 C.F.R. Part 200 and
103		more specifically 200.430.
104	Does the full application have a page limit?	No
	The RFP references an excel budget template;	
105	will this file be made available at a later time?	See answer to question 62
	What will the contract end date be for Partners	
106	selected?	See answer to question 47
	Will selected Partners be responsible for	
	implementation of municipal plan	
	recommendations? And if so, will they be	
	responsible for all recommendations or only	
	for recommendations that match the topic	
107	area(s) they agreed to provide?	See answer to question 2
		No, MBI intends to support an ecosystem that allows Partners
		that are providing different or complementary program area
	Will only one Partner be selected to serve a	services to have overlapping geographies. MBI's goal is to
	geographic area?	have Partners providing services in every region in every
		program area and will encourage entities to collaborate within
108		a region to achieve efficiencies and avoid duplication efforts.
		If multiple applicants propose to provide the same program
	Could more than one Partner be selected for the same topic area in the same geographic region?	area services in the same geography, MBI will determine
		whether the applicants intend to serve different populations
		or substantially different and complementary services. MBI
		will make any award decisions based on that determination to
109		avoid duplicative services within a region.





	BROADBAND INSTITUT	Collaborative
110	of grants awarded in each application round,	MBI will make awards based on the merit and qualifications of any given applicant. MBI will continue making awards until it deems there insufficient capacity among Partners to fully execute the program areas and expend the funds allocated for this Program within the time period allowed. If MBI deems that there are sufficient qualified applicants in the first and second round of applications, it may make 10-15 awards within that time frame.
111	Will there be funds, in addition to the current \$50 million Digital Equity Partnerships Program, made available to the Partners selected through this solicitation? Or will there be a separate solicitation(s) for each funding program(s)?	The Digital Equity Partnerships Program is funded through a portion of the \$50M authorized by the Legislature. No additional funding will be allocated by MassTech for this Program
112	In a few of the topic areas, it says funds can be used by selected Partners to award grants to entities providing internet access to specific constituencies (such as at public housing and public spaces, individuals facing housing instability, etc.). Is there a minimum or maximum term for how long this access must be provided (i.e. months/years or a specific cut-off date)? Or is the term the decision of the Partner and/or the entity receiving the sub-award from the Partner?	There is no minimum or maximum but Partners are responsible for ensuring the longevity and sustainability of any funded project under the Partnership program.
113	Is there a grant match requirement?	No grant match requirements are required for this program.
114	How long after application submission will an award be granted?	See answer to question 30





115	After an award is granted, how soon can work begin?	The commencement of work will be based on the effective date of the subaward agreement
116	Do applicants have to wait until the full RFP is awarded to begin work?	See answer to question 115. An applicant will not be eligible to receive funding for work performed prior to the effective data in a fully executed subaward agreement
117	What is the term length of the partnership?	See answer to question 82
118	Where can a copy of the Budget Template (Attachment C) be found?	See answer to question 62
	Can we submit an initial concept paper on a narrow part of the work and then expand through subsequent concept papers in the future (either expand on the project area or add another project area to the original submission)?	Partners will have the ability to expand their scope of work and program areas at regular intervals during the Partnership program lifecycle. They will be required to submit additional documentation and budget detail for any new program areas in alignment with the initial application process.





120	Can we submit an application on a narrow part of the work and then expand through subsequent rolling deadlines in the future (either expand on the original project area or add another project area to the original submission)?	See answer to question 119
121	Can an organization simultaneously submit concept papers/applications for 2 separate project areas?	See answer to question 68
122	How much funding is going to be allocated to each of the 6 project areas?	See answer to question 4
123	Can MBI provide an example/elaboration of how it will provide funding for the "dedicated resource(s)"?	The phrase "dedicated resource(s) does not appear in the solicitation.
124	Can MBI provide examples/elaboration on fiduciary responsibilities?	Fiduciary responsibilities include distribution of funds to recipients (see section 2.2 of the solicitation for definitions), ensuring compliance of program funds (see slide 14 of the bidders conference presentation), and ongoing reporting.





	The Education, Outreach and Adoption project	
	area requires work to raise awareness of the	
	resources available in the other Initiative	
	programs areas not just ACP - Is it possible to	
	focus on just ACP outreach and awareness? If	
	it's possible to perform just ACP outreach and	
	awareness, is the partner required to perform	
	all outreach items (e.g. in-person workshops,	
	call center phone banking, door to door	
	outreach, online or printed communications,	
	public service announcements, and other	
	media activities as deemed necessary) or can it	Respondents can apply for the Education, Outreach, and
	just focus on 1 outreach item? Can the partner	Adoption program area to solely focus on ACP Outreach and
	also focus on performing and implementing its	Awareness. Respondents should indicate what specific
	own ACP awareness and outreach strategy	activities they would engage in to accomplish increased ACP
125	within Massachusetts?	enrollment in their concept paper.
126	We have the ability to support some but not all of the scope in the Education, Outreach, and Adoption program area. Our ideal role would be to support a lead applicant with ACP awareness and enrollment support. Should we still submit a concept paper?	The concept paper should be submitted by the entity that would serve as the lead applicant
127	Will pilot programs be allowed for any of the project areas?	See answer to question 1.
128	Will or can MBI be the administrator of funds? In other words, can a partner provide guidance to MBI on how to spend funds without actually administering the distribution of awarded funds?	No





Can MBI elaborate on any federal or state level guidelines to procurement if a partner is

128 awarded funds?

See response to question 10.